Angela.Dixon@eastriding.gov.uk,Ruth.Gore@eastriding.gov.uk

cc David Hammond <David.Hammond@dft.gsi.gov.uk>,AndrewB Smith <AndrewB.Smith@dft.gsi.gov.uk> and others 14 May 2012

Dear Ms. Dixon and Ms Gore,

Your refusal to withdraw Safer Road Humber's ludicrous claims for speed camera benefit have invariably been that your methods are those which the DfT requires you to use.

However as the reply from the DfT that I copy below confirms, that is simply untrue - as you surely should have known - and has been untrue since April 2007 when the Hypothecation Scheme ended in favour of local control.

I completed my formal complaint to Humber Police over the weekend and was about to post it when the DfT's reply arrived and changed the position. I will now hold it over for 24 hours to give you the opportunity to reconsider in the light of the DfT's clarification of the position, and to confirm that you will now withdraw the false claims.

Yours faithfully,

Idris Francis

copy email from DfT

From: David Hammond < David. Hammond @dft.gsi.gov.uk > To: Idris Francis < idrisfrancis @fightbackwithfacts.com > CC: AndrewB Smith < AndrewB. Smith @dft.gsi.gov.uk >

Date: Mon, 14 May 2012 06:55:30 -0400

Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Act 2000 - F0008830 My response

Dear Idris

Thank you for your letter 11th May 2012 setting out your concerns regarding camera enforcement and related claims.

Since the end of the National Safety Camera Programme on 31st March 2007, actions relating to enforcement cameras have been a local matter for the Partnerships, where they still exist, or the police where they do not. With the end of hypothecation, the Department has no power to direct or influence the actions of those agencies undertaking enforcement.

I can only suggest that you continue to correspond with those parties undertaking camera enforcement directly.

Once again, thank you and regards Dave Hammond Road Safety Knowledge and Information 020 7944 6436

(my emphasis Idris Francis)